



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/741,313	12/19/2000		Kuotung Hsu	AB-1090 US	6170
24251	7590	07/29/2002			
SKJERVEN		ILL LLP	EXAMINER		
25 METRO I SUITE 700	DRIVE		GONZALEZ, JULIO C		
SAN JOSE, O	CA 9511	0	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2834	
			DATE MAILED: 07/29/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)	1
	09/741	,313	HSU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examir	ner	Art Unit	
		Gonzalez	2834	
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	nunication appears on t	the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the provi- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this control of the period for reply specified above is less than thicolor of the period for reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(Status	UNICATION. sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no communication. rty (30) days, a reply within the s m statutory period will apply and reply will, by statute, cause the a nths after the mailing date of this	event, however, may statutory minimum of the d will expire SIX (6) Mo application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered time DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.
1) Responsive to communication (s	s) filed on <u>07 May 2002</u>	<u>2</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action	is non-final.		
Since this application is in cond closed in accordance with the p Disposition of Claims				ne merits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in	he application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from	consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-12</u> is/are reje	cted.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to	о.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to re	striction and/or election	requirement.		
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/a	are: a) ☐ accepted or b)	objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction			disapproved by the Examir	ier.
If approved, corrected drawings ar		Office action.		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objecte	d to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a cl		under 35 U.S.C	:. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None				
1 Certified copies of the prior	rity documents have b	een received.		
2. Certified copies of the prior	rity documents have b	een received in	Application No	
3. Copies of the certified cop application from the In* See the attached detailed Office a	ternational Bureau (PC	T Rule 17.2(a)).	Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	m for domestic priority	under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreigr15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a cla				
Attachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144)			w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (PT	

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, the claim discloses a stopper with a location being a function of the height of the magnet. What is meant by the "function of the height" of the magnet? How is the magnet affecting the stopper? Is the movement of the stopper about the height of the magnet? Partially the height of the magnet? What functionality the height of the magnet affects the stopper? How the height of the magnet affects the stopper?

In order to advance prosecution in the merits, the Prior Art will be applied as best understood by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2834

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1, 2, 4 and 6-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Agematsu et al in view of Matsuhima.

Agematsu et al discloses a rotor structure having coils 59, shaft 52, magnet 54, magnet holder 103 having a wall connected to the magnet 54 (see figure 10), a bushing 66 connected to shaft 52. Also, other features of how a bushing connected to the shaft are shown in figures 12, 13 and 14. Moreover, a stopper 65 is disclosed to be engaged in the shaft 52.

However, Agematsu et al does not disclose that the stopper may be positioned within a range of possible positions along the shaft.

On the other hand, Matsushima discloses for the purpose of reducing vibration of a motor due to speed of rotation of a rotor, a stopper 12 which is movable along the shaft 6 and may be place on several locations along the shaft (see figures 1, 2b & abstract).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design a rotor structure as disclosed by Agematsu et al and to modify the invention by having a movable member along the shaft for the

Art Unit: 2834

purpose of reducing vibration of a motor due to speed of rotation of a rotor as disclosed by Matsushima.

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Agematsu et al and Matsushima as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Saito et al.

The combined rotor discloses all of the elements above. However, the combined rotor does not disclose explicitly that the magnet holder is made of metal.

On the other hand, Saito et al discloses for the purpose of reducing magnet corrosion, a magnet holder 18 been made of metal material (column 4, lines 34, 35).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the combined rotor structure as disclosed above and to make the magnet holder being made of metal for the purpose of reducing magnet corrosion as disclosed by Saito et al.

Art Unit: 2834

6. In regards to claims 6 and 9, base on the understanding of the claims, the method of making the device is not germane to the issue of patentability of the device itself. Therefore this limitation has not been given patentable weight and will not be considered.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 and 6-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Page 5

Art Unit: 2834

Page 6

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julio C. Gonzalez whose telephone number is (703) 305-1563. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8AM-5PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 305-1341 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Jcg

July 25, 2002

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800